21a Goldhurst Terrace T: 0044 20 7328 3701 sabine@globalnet.co.uk London NW6 3HB M: 07968 039 141 www.sabinemcneill.co.uk

10 September 2017

## WITNESS STATEMENT as RECIPIENT of a RESTRAINING ORDER

I, Sabine McNeill of 21a Goldhurst Terrace in London NW6 3HB would appear in person, if my physical disability didn't force me to be house-bound. I am happy to appear on video, if the court is able to arrange for that, despite generally lagging behind our digital times.

I have known Mr Kirk since 2009, when he came to a meeting I had organised at the House of Lords, together with other victims of white collar crimes, under the auspices of Lord Sudeley. Lord Sudeley's family had been fraudulently bankrupted by LLOYDS in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century and he was defrauded by LLOYDS when they were acting as executors of his grandmother's estate.

At the time, more and more victims of white collar crimes joined our meetings and I learned not only about fraudulent bankruptcies, but also false home repossessions and other crimes that forced victims to either get ill and kill themselves or become fighters and McKenzie Friends, such as Mr Kirk.

Clearly among the worst of all cases were those who were sectioned into what Norman Scarth calls Gulags, after he himself was condemned to that experience for five years between prison and mental hospital.

Just as Norman Scarth should never have been in either institution, so should Mr Kirk never have become the victim of freemasons in general and South Wales Police in particular.

How come he won 120 cases and only lost 12?

On the spectrum of probability: what is more likely that he is vigorously defending his human rights or that South Wales Police continue to wrongly cover up their false allegations of the possession and sale of a machine gun in which they changed the paint and instead stitch him up with their freemasonic allies?

Mr Scarth and Mr Kirk have both been given *Restraining Orders* and have thus studied the <u>Protection from Harassment Act 1997</u><sup>1</sup>. I had to follow in their footsteps when I was handed a Restraining Order even though I had been acquitted with a *No Case to Answer* before half-time on 18 July 2016. My opponent was the Metropolitan Police, just as Mr Kirk is fighting South Wales Police.

I had to come to the conclusion that the Restraining Order was the only desired outcome. It <u>provides</u> the Police with a legal vehicle for **imprisonment without exposure** of the underlying crimes.

However, Subsection 3a makes the provision: that harassment does not apply when preventing or detecting a crime.

Page 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/40

As a systems analyst who used to diagnose software at CERN, the *European Centre for Nuclear Research* in Geneva, my logical mind gets extremely offended by illogical arguments and irrational decisions being made to appear as 'just', 'fair', 'appropriate' or to the contrary 'without merit' or 'contempt of court'.

Had Mr Kirk not reported crimes that Dr Williams committed on behalf of South Wales Police?

Had courts not committed crimes by falsifying transcripts and withholding evidence by refusing 'disclosure'?

Had Police not committed one crime after another by producing 'fake cases' that included 'fake witnesses'?

In <u>his introduction</u><sup>2</sup> to the meeting in June 2009, Mr Scarth refers to the 'JUDICIAL MAFIA' as the elephant in the room. His experience of a Restraining Order involved a plain clothes Policewoman who lied to get Mr Scarth to leave his flat so that they could easily arrest him and seize his computer. His 'crime' was the distribution of leaflets under a Restraining Order! He avoided a second arrest by fleeing to Ireland.

Mr Kirk has no intention of fleeing.

But do judge and jury really concur that it is NO abuse of process of

- a Restraining Order being used to continue to protect Dr Williams and criminalise Mr Kirk;
- pretending the Restraining Order was properly served on him;
- while not permitting the disclosure of critical documents;
- and refusing witnesses?

Or is it more likely that South Wales Police want to get him to die in prison so that they don't have to pay out the compensation he is due, even though no money could compensate him for the loss of his profession and his family?

Date: 10<sup>th</sup> September 2017

Johns K. M. Neill

Sabine K McNeill

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://youtu.be/Bxet1BoNjsY