

COMMENTS on the DEFENDANT’S SKELETON ARGUMENT by Sabine K McNeill, Organiser of the [Forum for Stable Currencies](http://www.forumforstablecurrencies.org.uk)ⁱ and Publisher of [Enforcement of Bank of England Act 1694](http://edm1297.info)ⁱⁱ and [Victims Unite!](http://victims-unite.net)ⁱⁱⁱ, as [McKenzie friend](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McKenzie_friend)^{iv}.

1. If a court trial was not scheduled, the skeleton argument could be part of a script for a sequel to the film *One Flew Over the Cuckoo’s Nest*. Why would serious lawyers spend a considerable amount of their time researching the details of Mr Kirk’s “bizarre behaviour” (para 45) and his “playing games” (para 189) when driving or flying? Only because they are getting paid to do so, by an authority that has more funds available for lawyers’ fees than an individual.
2. Why don’t the lawyers ask themselves what might be the reasons for Mr Kirk’s “bizarre behaviour”? Why don’t they ask themselves about the difference between the claimant as an individual and the defendant as an institution, where everybody is doing their job according to rules and regulations?
3. Mr Kirk is not only an individual of superior intelligence (see medical record), but also an accomplished pilot and veterinary surgeon.
4. In 1972, Mr Kirk was wrongly accused to have stolen a notebook from the drawer of an Inspector’s police station in Taunton and has been harassed by South Wales Police ever since.
5. The harassment included not only false imprisonments, but also enforced stay in mental hospitals and in 2009 even MAPPA surveillance level 3, from 8th June 2009 until 17th December 2009.
6. Mr Kirk does not accuse individual police officers of malicious intent, but he does imply malice on parts of an organisation that has powers of intruding into the lives of individuals 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
7. The various driving offences or the failure to produce documents are legal and psychological peanuts, compared to the kind of harassment that resulted even in Mr Kirk being struck off the Register of Veterinary Surgeons.
8. When an organisation whose members risk losing their job when not adhering to rules, causes the loss of an individual’s livelihood and the replacement of meaningful and enjoyable work with the on-going occupation of having to defend oneself, can that still only be called “harassment”?
9. When the same organisation is now incapable of releasing medical records such that the victim is on morphine instead of getting his hip replaced, is that “playing games” on behalf of the organisation?
10. Mr Kirk’s quality of life – as a consequence of the behaviour of South Wales Police as an organisation doing its job – can best be described by having won over 100 court cases and only lost 12 against the South Wales Police.
11. It would be nice to think that judges were able to sympathise with Jack Nicholson in *One Flew Over the Cuckoo’s Nest* and thus sympathetic towards Mr Kirk’s “playing games”.
12. For Mr Kirk is not a serious sexual or violent offender, but an eccentric Welshman^v with a sense of what is right and what is wrong.

ⁱ <http://www.forumforstablecurrencies.org.uk>

ⁱⁱ <http://edm1297.info>

ⁱⁱⁱ <http://victims-unite.net>

^{iv} http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McKenzie_friend

^v http://kirkflyingvet.com/files/folders/around_the_world/entry264.aspx